

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

09/19/01

SERIAL NUMBER FILING DATE FIRST NAMED APPLICAN		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO		
09/500,736 02/09/00	ROGONE	М	M-7599 US	
024251 PM82/0919 SKJERVEN MORRILL MACPHERSON LLP 25 METRO DRIVE SUITE 700		EXAMINER		
		SANTOS,R		
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SAN JOSE CA 95110		3628	8	
		DATE MANIED.		

Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

ADVISORY ACTION

			ADVISORY ACTION		
ØT	HE PERIC	DD FOR RESPONSE:			
a) [] is exten	ded to run	or continues to run	from the date of the final rejection	
b) 🔽	expires event h	three months from the date of nowever, will the statutory perio	the final rejection or as of the mailing d for the response expire later than a	g date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no six months from the date of the final rejection.	
	The dat purpose	te on which the response, the period of	petition, and the fee have been filed extension and the corresponding are	1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. is the date of the response and also the date for the count of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR by period for response or as set forth in b) above.	
	ppellant's	Brief is due in accordance with	37 CFR 1.192(a),		
₩ A	pplicant's place the	response to the final rejection, a application in condition for all	filed 9/6/01 has been bwance:	considered with the following effect, but it is not deemed	
1. 🗓	The pro	posed amendments to the claim	n and /or specification will not be en	tered and the final rejection stands because:	
	a. 🔽 Ti	here is no convincing showing resented.	under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the prop	posed amendment is necessary and was not earlier	
	ь. 🔲 ТІ	hey raise new issues that woul	d require further consideration and/o	r search. (See Note).	
	c. 🔲 T	hey raise the issue of new mat	ter. (See Note).		
	d. 🔽 T	They are not deemed to place appeal.	the application in better form for app	eal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for	
	e. 🗌 1	They present additional claims	without cancelling a corresponding n	umber of finally rejected claims.	
	NOTE:				
2. [Newly p	proposed or amended claims _ -allowable claims.	would be allowed	d if submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling	
з. 🏻	Upon th	ne filing an appeal, the propose allows:	d amendment 🔲 will be entered 🛚	will not be entered and the status of the claims will	
	Claims	allowed:		<u>.</u>	
		objected to:	,	-	
	Claims	rejected:		<u>.</u>	
	☐ App	plicant's response has overcon	ne the following rejection(s):		
	_				
4.	The affi	davit, exhibit or request for rec	onsideration has been considered bu	ut does not overcome the rejection because	
5. 「	The affic	davit or exhibit will not be cons	dered because applicant has not she	own good and sulficent reasons why it was not earlier	
	present		apprount has not sin	good and domedit reasons why it was not earlier	
<u></u> π	e propose	d drawing correction has	has not been approved by the	examiner. Robert & Sta	
Ot	ther			Patent Examiner	
				Technology Center 3	60
